UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,698	12/17/2003	Lan Chen	246696US90	5689
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET VIANA DI PRISCO, GERMALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		VIANA DI PRISCO, GERMAN		
		PAPER NUMBER		
			2617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/736,698	CHEN ET AL.					
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	GERMAN VIANA DI PRISCO	2617					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) <u>GERMAN VIANA DI PRISCO</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>EDWARD W. TRACY</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>09 July 2009</u> .							
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Alastalo</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Mr. Tracy explained that in the Applicant's invention the modulation scheme is selected such as the data is transmitted in one block, i.e., without fragmenting the data.</u>							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APPLICA DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LAT	ANT IS				
/Germán Viana Di Prisco/	/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/		$\neg$				
Examiner, Art Unit 2617	Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 2617					